

Case Item Analysis

Event: SM 2015 Prev - Med OSCE Case: 2014-15 JKING ((Pre-DBM/hyperlipidema))

- No dynamic subgroups

SP Sections

No data

Post-Encounter Learner Sections

Post Encounter Instructions (ISX)

You have completed the patient encounter.

Case Evaluation

No data

Faculty Observation & Narrative

HISTORY (Hx)

●	1. The interviewer noted the patient's social	Above Average- explored social	Average-explored some components of	Below average-ask social history	Needs improvement-did	AVG: 0.80
---	--	---	--	---	----------------------------------	----------------------

	history	history completely 19.7% 24 PBS: 0.26	social history and followed up 64.8% 79 PBS: -0.04	questions but did not follow up 13.1% 16 PBS: -0.20	not mention social history 2.5% 3 PBS: -0.07	DEV: 0.13
●	2. The interviewer noted the patient's pertinent family history	Above Average-explored family history completely 17.2% 21 PBS: 0.36	Average-explored some components of family history with some follow up 67.2% 82 PBS: -0.16	Below average-asked family history but did not follow up 11.5% 14 PBS: -0.12	Needs improvement-did not mention family history 4.1% 5 PBS: -0.10	AVG: 0.80 DEV: 0.13
●	3. The interviewer noted the patient's substance use - tobacco, alcohol, other drugs	Above average-explored information about tobacco, alcohol & drug use 26.2% 32 PBS: 0.39	Average-explored some information about tobacco, alcohol & drug use 50.8% 62 PBS: -0.09	Below Average-mentioned tobacco, alcohol & drug use but did not assess situation 16.4% 20 PBS: -0.14	Needs improvement-no mention of substance use 6.6% 8 PBS: -0.27	AVG: 0.79 DEV: 0.17
●	4. The interviewer took a diet history - sugary drinks, fruits/veg, protein, carbs, junk foods	Above Average-explored drinks, junk foods, fruit/veg, protein & carbs 17.2% 21 PBS: 0.32	Average-explored 3 of the 5 items 74.6% 91 PBS: -0.16	Below average-explored 1 of the 5 items 8.2% 10 PBS: -0.18	Needs improvement-did not explore diet history 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00	AVG: 0.82 DEV: 0.10
●	5. The interviewer took a exercise history - frequency, duration, intensity, type	Above average-explored exercise frequency, duration, intensity & type 5.7%	Average-explored 2 of the 4 items 82.0% 100 PBS: 0.16	Below Average-explored 1 of the 4 items 8.2% 10	Needs improvement-did not explore exercise 4.1% 5	AVG: 0.78 DEV: 0.11

		7 PBS: 0.16		PBS: -0.24	PBS: -0.14	
--	--	----------------	--	------------	------------	--

Patient/Physician Interaction (PPI)

●	6. The interviewer utilized open-ended questions (cannot be answered with yes or no)	Outstanding-throughout interview used open-ended questions 9.9% 12 PBS: 0.38	Observed-two or three times using open-ended questions 87.6% 106 PBS: -0.21	Observed-once using open-ended questions 2.5% 3 PBS: -0.28	Did not observe the use of open-ended questions 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00	AVG: 0.81 DEV: 0.07
●	7. The interviewer utilized affirmations and/or supported self-efficacy . (Praise for a positive change)	Outstanding-provided affirmations throughout interview 17.4% 21 PBS: 0.47	Observed-two or three times using affirmations 52.9% 64 PBS: 0.03	Observed-once using an affirmation 26.4% 32 PBS: -0.34	Did not observe-use of affirmations 3.3% 4 PBS: -0.20	AVG: 0.76 DEV: 0.19
●	8. The interviewer utilized reflections and/or summaries . (Rephrasing what the patient said)	Outstanding-used reflections throughout interview 13.1% 16 PBS: 0.50	Observed-two or three times using reflections 67.2% 82 PBS: 0.02	Observed-once using a reflection 18.0% 22 PBS: -0.36	Did not observe-use of reflections 1.6% 2 PBS: -0.19	AVG: 0.78 DEV: 0.15
●	9. The interviewer demonstrated empathy through body language.	Outstanding-throughout interview	Observed-frequently 64.8% 79	Observed-infrequently 23.0%	Observe rarely 0.0% 0	AVG: 0.78 DEV:

		12.3% 15 PBS: 0.46	PBS: -0.07	28 PBS: -0.26	PBS: 0.00	0.12
●	10. The interviewer demonstrated empathy through verbal responses.	Outstanding-throughout interview 13.1% 16 PBS: 0.39	Observed-frequently 78.7% 96 PBS: -0.19	Observed-infrequently 8.2% 10 PBS: -0.20	Observed-rarely 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00	AVG: 0.81 DEV: 0.09
●	11. In providing information or making a recommendation to the patient the interviewer asked permission .	Outstanding-Asked permission and drew recommendations from patient 5.7% 7 PBS: 0.35	Observed-Asked permission and provided two or three recommendations 78.7% 96 PBS: 0.08	Observed-Asked permission and provided limited recommendations 14.8% 18 PBS: -0.27	Did not observe-Asking permission or providing recommendations/ran out of time 0.8% 1 PBS: -0.08	AVG: 0.78 DEV: 0.11
●	12. In providing information or making a recommendation to the patient, the interviewer used clear and understandable terms adapted to the patient's level of understanding.	Outstanding-Provided information with understandable language 11.5% 14 PBS: 0.32	Observed-Provided information but had to explain one term; remainder of terms understandable 78.7% 96 PBS: -0.13	Observed-Provided information but had to explain several terms; several terms not understandable 9.8% 12 PBS: -0.19	Did not observe-Providing information and used medical terms/ran out of time 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00	AVG: 0.80 DEV: 0.09
●	13. In providing information or making a recommendation to the patient, the interviewer asked for the patient's response	Outstanding-explored response and understanding of recommendations 7.4% 9	Observed-explored two or three aspect of understanding of the recommendations 77.9% 95	Observed-explored one aspect of understanding of the recommendations 13.9% 17	Did not observe-did not assess understanding of recommendations/ran out of time 0.8% 1	AVG: 0.78 DEV: 0.12

	and/or understanding.	PBS: 0.32	PBS: 0.17	PBS: -0.39	PBS: -0.12	
--	------------------------------	------------------	------------------	-------------------	-------------------	--

Issues Specific to Case (ISC)

●	14. The interviewer utilized developing discrepancy -helped the patient to identify the arguments for and against change. (Refecting back the patient's ambivalence-is only possible if the patient is moved to contemplation)	Outstanding 7.4% 9 PBS: 0.38	Observed multiple times 39.7% 48 PBS: 0.31	Observed at least once 39.7% 48 PBS: -0.26	Did not observe 13.2% 16 PBS: -0.34	AVG: 0.63 DEV: 0.27
●	15. The interviewer utilized rolling with resistance -used reflection in response to patient's resistance. (Reflection is response to the patient saying they can't, won't....)	Outstanding 4.9% 6 PBS: 0.31	Observed multiple times 63.1% 77 PBS: 0.27	Observed at least once 30.3% 37 PBS: -0.34	Did not observe 1.6% 2 PBS: -0.23	AVG: 0.74 DEV: 0.14
●	16. The interviewer responded appropriately given the patient's initial stage of change in regard to a problem behavior	Outstanding 9.0% 11 PBS: 0.51	Average 88.5% 108 PBS: -0.36	Below Average 2.5% 3 PBS: -0.19	Needs improvement 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00	AVG: 0.81 DEV: 0.07
●	17. The interviewer helped move the patient to the next stage of change	Outstanding 8.2% 10 PBS: 0.45	Average 76.2% 93 PBS: -0.01	Below Average 15.6% 19 PBS: -0.32	Needs improvement 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00	AVG: 0.79 DEV: 0.10

Information Sharing (IS)

●	18. The interviewer clearly stated the patient's diagnosis or risk (Did the student clearly assess the patient' risk of morbidity, did	Outstanding- 11.5%	Average 45.1%	Below Average	Needs improvement	AVG: 0.73
---	---	------------------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------

	the patient recognize the problem and the need to change)	14 PBS: 0.45	55 PBS: 0.07	41.8% 51 PBS: -0.36	1.6% 2 PBS: 0.00	DEV: 0.14
●	19. The interview followed the SOAP format	Outstanding- 9.8% 12 PBS: 0.42	Average 75.4% 92 PBS: -0.06	Below Average 13.1% 16 PBS: -0.22	Needs improvement 1.6% 2 PBS: -0.16	AVG: 0.79 DEV: 0.11

STUDENT (FCM)

●	20. The interviewer responded appropriately to feedback	Outstanding 4.6% 5 PBS: 0.28	Average 94.4% 102 PBS: -0.19 (NS)	Below Average 0.9% 1 PBS: -0.19 (NS)	Needs improvement 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00 (NS)	AVG: 0.81 DEV: 0.05
	21. . Evaluators (NS)	View textual answers				
	22. . Prev-Med OSCE present score (NS)	View textual answers				
	23. . COMMENTS: (NS)	View textual answers				

Self Evaluation Sections

Motivational Interviewing (MI)

●	1. I was prepared to assess disease risk and use motivational interviewing skills	Above average 32.8% 39 PBS: 0.26	Average 60.5% 72 PBS: -0.22	Needs improvement 6.7% 8 PBS: -0.08	AVG: 1.26 DEV: 0.57
---	---	---	--	--	--

History (Hx)

●	2. I obtained a social history - living situation, activities and support system	Above average 39.5% 47 PBS: 0.16	Average 48.7% 58 PBS: -0.12	Needs improvement 11.8% 14 PBS: -0.05	AVG: 1.28 DEV: 0.66
●	3. I obtained a pertinent family history - family history of illnesses and diseases	Above average 45.8% 55 PBS: 0.14	Average 49.2% 59 PBS: -0.10	Needs improvement 5.0% 6 PBS: -0.09	AVG: 1.41 DEV: 0.58
●	4. I noted the substance use history - tobacco, alcohol, other drugs	Above average 55.0% 66 PBS: 0.11	Average 35.0% 42 PBS: -0.04	Needs improvement 10.0% 12 PBS: -0.11	AVG: 1.45 DEV: 0.67
●	5. I obtained a diet history - sugary drinks, fruits/veg, protein, carbs, junk	Above average 44.5% 53 PBS: -0.06	Average 49.6% 59 PBS: 0.09	Needs improvement 5.9% 7 PBS: -0.08	AVG: 1.39 DEV: 0.60
●	6. I obtained an exercise history - frequency, duration, intensity, type	Above average 36.1% 60 PBS: -0.06	Average 50.4% 60 PBS: -0.06	Needs improvement 13.4% 13 PBS: -0.08	AVG: 1.23 DEV: 0.60

		43 PBS: 0.02	PBS: 0.04	16 PBS: -0.08	0.67
--	--	------------------------	------------------	-------------------------	------

Patient/Physician Interaction (PPI)

●	7. I utilized open-ended questions during the encounter	strongly agree = observed multiple times 77.5% 93 PBS: -0.10	agree = observed at least once 22.5% 27 PBS: 0.10	disagree = did not observe 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00	AVG: 1.77 DEV: 0.42
●	8. I utilized affirmations and/or supported self-efficacy .	strongly agree = observed multiple times 62.5% 75 PBS: 0.12	agree = observed at least once 35.0% 42 PBS: -0.05	disagree = did not observe 2.5% 3 PBS: -0.21	AVG: 1.60 DEV: 0.54
●	9. I utilized reflections and/or summarized . (Rephrased what the patient said)	strongly agree = observed multiple times 59.2% 71 PBS: 0.13	agree = observed at least once 37.5% 45 PBS: -0.06	disagree = did not observe 3.3% 4 PBS: -0.20	AVG: 1.56 DEV: 0.56
●	10. I utilized empathy through body language and eye contact.	strongly agree = observed multiple times 76.5% 91 PBS: -0.03	agree = observed at least once 20.2% 24 PBS: 0.05	disagree = did not observe 3.4% 4 PBS: -0.05	AVG: 1.73 DEV: 0.51
●	11. I utilized empathy through verbal responses.	strongly agree = observed multiple	agree = observed at	disagree = did not observe	AVG: 1.65

		times 68.6% 81 PBS: -0.13	least once 28.0% 33 PBS: 0.18	3.4% 4 PBS: -0.15	DEV: 0.54
●	12. In providing information or making a recommendation to the patient I asked permission.	strongly agree = observed multiple times 41.7% 50 PBS: 0.01	agree = observed at least once 55.0% 66 PBS: 0.02	disagree = did not observe 3.3% 4 PBS: -0.09	AVG: 1.38 DEV: 0.55
●	13. In providing information or making a recommendation to the patient, I used clear and understandable terms and adapted to the patient's level of understanding.	strongly agree = observed multiple times 42.0% 50 PBS: 0.03	agree = observed at least once 52.1% 62 PBS: 0.03	disagree = did not observe 5.9% 7 PBS: -0.11	AVG: 1.36 DEV: 0.59
●	14. In providing information or making a recommendation to the patient, I asked for the patient's response and/or understanding .	strongly agree = observed multiple times 39.8% 47 PBS: 0.10	agree = observed at least once 51.7% 61 PBS: -0.05	disagree = did not observe 8.5% 10 PBS: -0.09	AVG: 1.31 DEV: 0.62

Issues Specific to Case (ISC)

●	15. I utilized developing discrepancy -by helping the patient to identify the arguments for and against change.	strongly agree = observed multiple times 16.9% 20 PBS: 0.17	agree = observed at least once 61.9% 73 PBS: 0.00	disagree = did not observe 21.2% 25 PBS: -0.16	AVG: 0.96 DEV: 0.62
---	--	--	--	---	--

●	16. I utilized rolling with resistance -while using reflection in response to patient's resistance.	strongly agree = observed multiple times 27.7% 33 PBS: 0.07	agree = observed at least once 65.5% 78 PBS: -0.01	disagree = did not observe 6.7% 8 PBS: -0.10	AVG: 1.21 DEV: 0.55
●	17. I responded appropriately given the patient's initial stage of change in regard to a problem behavior	strongly agree = observed multiple times 32.2% 38 PBS: 0.01	agree = observed at least once 61.0% 72 PBS: 0.00	disagree = did not observe 6.8% 8 PBS: -0.04	AVG: 1.25 DEV: 0.57
●	18. I helped move the patient to the next stage of change	strongly agree = observed multiple times 21.8% 26 PBS: 0.13	agree = observed at least once 61.3% 73 PBS: -0.03	disagree = did not observe 16.8% 20 PBS: -0.11	AVG: 1.05 DEV: 0.62

Information Sharing (IS)

●	19. I clearly stated the patient's diagnosis or risk factors	Above average 18.6% 22 PBS: 0.12	Average 40.7% 48 PBS: -0.02	Needs Improvement 40.7% 48 PBS: -0.08	AVG: 0.78 DEV: 0.74
●	20. The interview followed the SOAP format	Above average 42.5% 51 PBS: 0.20	Average 46.7% 56 PBS: -0.13	Needs Improvement 10.8% 13 PBS: -0.12	AVG: 1.32 DEV: 0.66

Feedback (FB)

	21. . What happened during the feedback session that you appreciated and please give a description: (NS)	View textual answers					
●	22. How valuable was this educational experience for you?	Outstanding 41.7% 50 PBS: 0.04	Above average 45.8% 55 PBS: 0.00	Average 10.8% 13 PBS: -0.06	Below average 1.7% 2 PBS: -0.07	Poor 0.0% 0 PBS: 0.00	AVG: 3.27 DEV: 0.72
	23. . Please add any comments that you would like to share to help us improve this experience for future students: (NS)	View textual answers					
●	24. I received immediate feedback and final score from today's OSCE.				YES 96.7% 116 PBS: -0.07	NO 3.3% 4 PBS: 0.07	AVG: 0.97 DEV: 0.18

Peer Evaluation Sections

No data